	United St	ATES DIST	TRICT COURT	
		District of	Delaware	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	V.		DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jose Mejia-Luna	Case	CR06-135-JJF	
	Defendant cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 of the defendant pending trial in this case.		g has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
	Pa	art I—Findings of	Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described or local offense that would have been a federal offer a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 an offense for which the maximum sentence is 1 an offense for which a maximum term of impris	nse if a circumstance § 56(a)(4). ife imprisonment or d	eath.	
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
		Alternative Findings		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant for which a maximum term of imprisonment of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)			at no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure ity.	
		Alternative Findings	(B)	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang		er person or the community.	
	Part II—Written	Statement of Rea	sons for Detention	

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preport derance of the evidence: Defendant is charged with possession of fraudulent immigration/identity documents. Defendant admitted that he is a resident of Mexico. A detention hearing was scheduled in December 2006 and at that time defendant did not contest detention but reserved the right to have his detention reviewed at a later time which was granted by this court. In light of his waiver, and the strength of the evidence propounded by the government against him, defendant was detained pending trial.



AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Part III-Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

January 2, 2007

Date

Signature of Judicial Officer

Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).